1/21/71

[illegible]

This [illegible] I am [illegible] to a government [illegible] [illegible]. If any of you can [illegible] I [illegible].

[illegible] the [illegible]'s clothing. I do not [illegible] whether or not they [illegible] it, [illegible] the Videosonic[illegible] of four parts on film here. I have the [illegible] transcript and checked it in [illegible]. However, it does not contain the [illegible], hence does not say which objects previously [illegible], were shown. [illegible].

So, it [illegible] first, whether or not the clothing was shown and if so, [illegible], it's [illegible].

Answering this thing is so enormous a job [illegible] and [illegible] yesterday, after [illegible] it, that if [illegible] a paying client who had to respond to it, he'd [illegible] and drop [illegible] the suit. This represents a new departure in other ways also, but I've no time to go into this now. Most of the stuff is [illegible] or irrelevant, but the judge has no way of knowing it.

Also, I cannot simply leave an unanswered [illegible], [illegible] in court. One [illegible].

With luck, honesty on the part of the judge (hung up on [illegible]), this may become a plus.

Hastily, Hw